## SPACE Alien hunters seek telescope to image distant KEALTH Ebola trials poised to revive therapy based on Construction from Gradzilla to revive therapy based on Construction from Gradzilla to gene sequences, 2015

science p.10

ESTEBAN FELIX/AP

planets p.8



blood transfusions p.9

Lake Nicaragua is to be dredged along a 105-kilometre channel for the Grand Canal project.

#### DEVELOPMENT

# Nicaragua defies canal protests

## Scientists call for independent environmental assessment.

### BY LUCAS LAURSEN, MANAGUA

icaragua has great expectations for the Grand Canal, a US\$50-billion, 5-year project to link its Caribbean and Pacific coasts with a 280-kilometre waterway. President Daniel Ortega and other supporters of the canal, who celebrated the start of construction on 22 December, say that it will generate muchneeded income for residents of the secondpoorest country in the Western Hemisphere.

But the plan has attracted protests from residents along the proposed route and from scientists around the world (A. Meyer and J. A. Huete-Peréz *Nature* **506**, 287–289; 2014), who charge that the Nicaraguan government and the Chinese company overseeing the project have sidestepped the environmental review process. With the release of a social and environmental impact assessment delayed from November 2014 until April, an informal group of researchers has banded together to produce what it says the government has failed to deliver: an independent evaluation of the project's potential impact.

Normally, before a major infrastructure project begins in Nicaragua, an independent commission would generate an environmental impact report and the environment ministry would take 6–8 months to review it and make recommendations, says engineer Víctor Campos, director of the Alexander von Humboldt Centre, an environmental non-profit organization in Managua. But those rules do not apply to the canal: a law passed in 2013 exempts the project from standard environmental review.

by the numbers p.12

HKND, the Hong Kong-based company that is building the canal, has commissioned its own assessment. The company says that a draft will be available for public review this month, with a final report in March or April. In November 2014, the company delivered an eight-hour presentation in Managua that critics say largely played down potential environmental impacts. A government spokesman did not reply to requests for information about the review process.

Scientists have therefore assembled an ad hoc review process of their own. An assessment completed in September by the Humboldt centre concluded that the canal would cause "very significant environmental impacts", and probably would not have been approved under Nicaragua's usual rules. And in November, participants at a scientific workshop in Managua hosted by the Nicaraguan Academy of Sciences came up with a list of environmental concerns about the canal project. "We can't offer any advice, but we can pose the important questions that anyone should answer before embarking on a project this big," says water-conservation researcher Luís Zambrano of the Autonomous University of Mexico in Mexico City, who was one of the 13 international scientists invited to the workshop.

Topping the list of concerns are potential impacts on Lake Nicaragua, the largest lake in central America, which will be dredged to a depth of 30 metres along a 105-kilometre channel. By churning up bottom sediments, the dredging and subsequent maintenance of the channel is expected to make lake waters more turbid and decrease their oxygen levels. Projected consequences include fish die-offs and blooms of blue-green algae that could make the water undrinkable.

### **INVASIVE INLET**

The construction plan also calls for creation of a 400-square-kilometre artificial lake near the canal's Caribbean terminus. Researchers have expressed concern that this lake would open up the nation's waterways to invasive species, as has happened in other such development projects (see 'Suez expansion').

At the same time, the canal could pose a 🕨

## SUEZ EXPANSION

### Effects unknown

Nicaragua's is not the only canal project moving forward faster than its environmental effects can be assessed. In August, Egypt announced a project to add a second lane to the Suez canal for 72 kilometres of its 162-kilometre length. By next summer, the canal is expected to ferry twice as much ship traffic between the Red Sea and the Mediterranean than it does at present.

The concern among marine scientists is that invasive species may find the expanded canal similarly convenient. Because it has no locks or other barriers, the canal's currents, which mostly flow from the Red Sea to the Mediterranean, offer marine organisms a free ride — and an expanded canal means that more sea life can ride those currents. But as in Nicaragua, no environmental review was conducted before the project began.

In early December, 225 scientists from 25 countries signed a letter urging environmental oversight. "There are means available to limit the introduction of non indigenous species which can be carried out at the early stages of a project but which become increasingly expensive as the project progresses. The ecological and economic cost of inaction may be substantial," the letter said. Three United Nations treaties have jurisdiction over activities that affect the health of the Mediterranean, but none has been enforced.

Of the nearly 700 invasive species already

in the Mediterranean, more than half arrived through the Suez (B. S. Galil *et al. Ethol. Ecol. Evol.* **26**, 152–171; 2014). Fish hauls off Turkey are up to 80% non-native species by weight, especially in shallow waters (M. Goren *et al. Rapp. Comm. Int. Mer. Médit.* **39**, 535; 2010). "We don't know where the tipping point is, but it will be reached soon," says marine biologist Bella Galil at the National Institute of Oceanography in Haifa, Israel. Without environmental oversight, she adds, there is no predicting what the system will tip to, either. But native communities are already eroding.

Near Turkey, for example, two species of shell-forming plankton (Amphistegina lobifera and Amphisorus hemprichi) now grow regularly. When they die, their remains accumulate in sandy layers that can be 50 centimetres thick (M. B. Yokes et al. Mar. Biodivers. Rec. 7, e52; 2014), smothering natural rocky-bottom ecosystems. Two species of Red Sea rabbitfish (Siganus luridus and Siganus rivulatus) have razed swathes hundreds of metres long in rich seaweed meadows in the eastern Mediterranean, demolishing habitat for many natives. And just as in terrestrial ecosystems, says Enric Sala, a marine ecologist and explorer-inresidence at the National Geographic Society in Washington DC who has studied the rabbitfish,"when you remove the forest, all the birds are gone". Juli Berwald

barrier to native terrestrial animals. At its eastern end, it will cut through the Cerro Silva Natural Reserve, 286,000 hectares of protected forest. It could have a significant impact on wildlife migration corridors, says Roberto Salom-Pérez, regional coordinator of the Mesoamerica Jaguar Program for Panthera, a conservation charity in Costa Rica. But he says that animals can co-exist with infrastructure if it is well planned. "We know that Jaguars and other big mammals can cross the Panama Canal," he says. "But this is only possible because the well-protected Barro Colorado Island works as a stepping-stone for these species, and because protected areas surround the canal."

About 2% of Nicaragua's people live along the Grand Canal's proposed route. The Humboldt centre estimates that the canal zone will pass through or near 282 communities, including a large tract of indigenous communal land. The canal concession gives HKND the right to seize this and other land, and Nicaraguans far from the canal route have reported visits to their land by Chinese surveyors accompanied by Nicaraguan military escorts. In mid-December, people marched in Managua to protest against the canal project.

In 2007, Ortega promised citizens that he would not risk the waters of Lake Nicaragua for all the gold in the world. This October, he said that he had come around to the idea of the canal because it would be the country's fastest route to economic development. The nation's public sector stands to benefit from the deal: HKND will pay the government \$10 million annually for the first 10 years of the canal's operation, and hand ownership of the canal to the government gradually at a rate of roughly 10% per decade to a maximum of 99%.

#### ASTRONOMY

# Planet hunters plot a course

## Researchers aim to set aside differences in search for life on distant worlds.

#### **BY ALEXANDRA WITZE**

Scott Gaudi is tired of the fighting. An astronomer at Ohio State University in Columbus, he specializes in the notoriously fractious field of exoplanet research, in which battles have included bitter fights over data access and epic rifts between teams searching for planets outside our Solar System.

On 4 January in Seattle, Washington, Gaudi will take a tentative first step towards corralling this rowdy bunch. As chair of NASA's Exoplanet Exploration Program Analysis Group, he will try to nudge a roomful of US exoplanet scientists into generating a coherent, specific vision for where the field should go.

The time is right. Researchers have almost finished combing through the thousands of leads that were produced by NASA's planethunting Kepler spacecraft between 2009 and 2013, and are squeezing some more data out of the craft's limited 'K2' mission extension (see *Nature* **514**, 414–415; 2014). By the mid-2020s, budgets permitting, astronomers expect to have a satellite called the Wide-Field Infrared Survey Telescope (WFIRST) busy cataloguing planets that are too far away from their host stars for Kepler to have spotted them.

Together, Kepler and WFIRST will produce a rough census of how many planets there are

in our Galaxy. But NASA has yet to work out how to tackle the next, more crucial questions: could anything actually live on any of these planets? And what will it take to understand a given world's chances of being habitable?

"The big thing we're wondering now is: what is it that we want to do after WFIRST?" says Gaudi.

He and others say that it is not too early to start worrying. NASA prioritizes its missions according to community surveys that happen every ten years. Exoplanet science fared badly in the 2010 survey, partly because the community could not agree on a unified vision.

"We live in a time where, for the first time in